RIVER SPRINGS ELEMENTARY 115 Connie Wright Road Irmo. SC 29063 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 819 Students ENROLLMENT Lynn B. Robertson 803-732-8147 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Dennis O. McMahon 803-732-8000 Cindy Sweigart 803-781-6358 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 14 IMPROVEMENT RATING: GOOD ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 21 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	Good	N/A
2002	Excellent	Good	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	Yes
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	54	138	110
Percent satisfied with learning environment	96.2%	95.6%	94.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	94.8%	82.4%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	98.1%	96.4%	94.5%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

River Springs Elementary 3205053 PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Robicient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 99.8 48.6 413 9.8 35.0 6.7 55.3 17.6 Gender Male 202 99.5 10.0 43.7 41.6 4.7 46.3 17.6 Female 100.0 9.5 26.6 55.3 8.5 63.8 17.6 211 Racial/Ethnic Group 99.7 6.9 30.4 55.1 7.6 62.7 17.6 White 287 African-American 100.0 17.9 48.4 29.5 4.2 33.7 17.6 103 Asian/Pacific Islander 9 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 100.0 17.6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 6 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Disability Status Not disabled 358 99.7 6.8 35.3 50.7 7.1 57.9 17.6 Disabled 55 100.0 28.8 32.7 34.6 3.8 38.5 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 413 99.8 9.8 35.0 48.6 6.7 55.3 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 2 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 99.8 9.8 35.1 48.5 6.7 55.2 17.6 411 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 100.0 29.6 40.7 27.8 1.9 29.6 17.6 58 Full-pay meals 355 99.7 6.6 34.0 51.9 7.5 59.4 17.6 Mathematics All students 413 100.0 4.9 37.4 32.1 25.6 57.7 15.5 Gender Male 32.5 100.0 3.7 36.6 27.2 59.7 202 15.5 Female 100.0 6.0 38.2 31.7 24.1 55.8 15.5 211 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 2.9 30.7 35.7 30.7 66.4 15.5 287 African-American 103 100.0 11.6 55.8 22.1 10.5 32.6 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander 9 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A 6 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 15.5 358 3.6 36.4 33.1 26.9 60.1 Disabled 100.0 13.5 44.2 25.0 42.3 15.5 55 17.3 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A N/A Non-migrant 413 100.0 4.9 37.4 32.1 25.6 57.7 15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A

4.9

16.7

3.0

N/A

37.5

57.4

34.2

N/A

32.1

16.7

34.5

N/A

25.4

9.3

28.3

N/A

57.6

25.9

62.8

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

2

411

58

355

English Proficiency

Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	My Jego	leste ologi	JOW O	3885 OK	6401.	Adve olo Profic
		/ th o	89 / 1	/ (-		/		0/01
				English	ı/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	158	N/A	5.7	21.5	53.8	19.0	72.8
	Grade 4	161	N/A	8.1	43.1	43.8	5.0	48.8
2002	Grade 5	158	N/A	5.7	43.0	44.3	7.0	51.3
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	136	100.0	3.9	17.2	68.0	10.9	78.9
	Grade 4	128	100.0	6.7	34.2	50.8	8.3	59.2
2003	Grade 5	149	99.3	17.7	51.8	29.1	1.4	30.5
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematic	S		
	Grade 3	158	N/A	7.6	31.0	31.6	29.7	61.4
	Grade 4	161	N/A	10.6	29.4	23.8	36.3	60.0
2002	Grade 5	158	N/A	9.5	34.2	25.9	30.4	56.3
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	136	100.0	1.6	33.6	42.2	22.7	64.8
	Grade 4	128	100.0	2.5	33.3	32.5	31.7	64.2
2003	Grade 5	149	100.0	9.9	44.4	22.5	23.2	45.8
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

(Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 819)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	0.7%	No change	1.2%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	97.9%	Up from 96.9%	96.6%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	37.7%	Down from 39.0%	42.9%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3.7%	Up from 3.5%	4.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	0.4%	Up from 0.3%	0.5%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 62)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	48.4%	Down from 49.3%	55.1%	50.0%
	80.6%	Down from 84.1%	90.8%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 78.5%	Down from 83.7%	88.5%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	92.4%	Down from 94.4%	96.3%	95.3%
	\$38,312	Up 0.3%	\$40,921	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	10.1 days	Up from 9.3 days	10.0 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.3 to 1	Up from 19.7 to 1	20.4 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.8%	Down from 90.4%	91.8%	89.7%
	\$5,546	Up 8.6%	\$5,546	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	70.2%	Up from 70.0%	70.2%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	Up from 98.1%	99.0%	99.0%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
	•		•	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to above in high neverty cabools	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuff	ient Sample
--	-------------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

For six years, River Springs Elementary School's academic success has been driven by a strong sense of community. Students, parents, faculty and staff work together to fulfill a shared vision of educational excellence. The 2002-2003 school year was an award-winning one at River Springs Elementary (RSES). For the second consecutive year, River Springs was recognized as a Palmetto Gold School. River Springs also earned the distinction as a South Carolina Red Carpet School.

At River Springs Elementary, the outstanding School Improvement Council and Parent-Teacher Organization provide many contributions of time, resources and support to our students and teachers. The School Improvement Council was named a finalist in the Dick and Tunky Riley School Improvement Council Awards program. The PTO raised more than \$50,000 to support educational initiatives, and helped to facilitate the involvement of volunteers lending the school support as tutors, mentors, chaperones and coordinators of special programs and events.

Students continue to excel in local, regional, state and national competitions. For the second consecutive year, a RSES third-grade student is the National Winner in the Mathfax competition. Six students gained acceptance to the Tri-District Arts Consortium. Three students were selected for the Elementary All-State Chorus and many others participated in the District's Honors Choir. Individual students and the Student Council were also recognized for community service projects in conjunction with local agencies and service organizations.

Teachers at River Springs Elementary continue to gain professional recognition. One teacher earned National Board Certification and several have completed all requirements for certification and await national certification test results. The National Schools of Character recognized the Handy Helpers program implemented by the guidance department as a "Promising Practice."

River Springs Elementary has undergone many changes over the course of its six year existence. We have seen the community around us grow at a dramatic rate and have watched our student population more than double in size. However, despite our tremendous growth, we have never lost sight of our desire to provide a warm, inviting, child-friendly learning community.

Lynn B. Robertson, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.